

Global Credit Research - 27 May 2015

Hamar, Norway

Ratings

Category	Moody's Rating
Outlook	Stable
Bank Deposits	A2/P-1
Baseline Credit Assessment	baa2
Adjusted Baseline Credit Assessment	baa1

Contacts

Analyst	Phone
Efthymia Tsotsani/London	44.20.7772.5454
Kim Bergoe/London	
Sean Marion/London	

Key Indicators

Sparebanken Hedmark (Consolidated Financials)[1]

	[2]12-14	[3]12-13	[3]12-12	[3]12-11	[3]12-10	Avg.
Total Assets (NOK billion)	49.8	47.4	44.1	43.1	41.8	[4]4.5
Total Assets (EUR million)	5,485.3	5,669.7	6,012.3	5,568.8	5,354.4	[4]0.6
Total Assets (USD million)	6,637.5	7,812.5	7,926.5	7,229.1	7,183.1	[4]-2.0
Tangible Common Equity (NOK billion)	6.5	5.9	5.5	5.1	4.8	[4]7.7
Tangible Common Equity (EUR million)	716.7	706.6	743.2	652.6	619.2	[4]3.7
Tangible Common Equity (USD million)	867.2	973.7	979.8	847.2	830.6	[4]1.1
Problem Loans / Gross Loans (%)	1.4	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.4	[5]2.0
Tangible Common Equity / Risk Weighted Assets (%)	17.7	19.1	22.2	20.6	19.3	[6]17.7
Problem Loans / (Tangible Common Equity + Loan Loss Reserve) (%)	8.1	9.9	12.8	15.7	16.1	[5]12.5
Net Interest Margin (%)	2.3	2.4	2.3	2.4	2.3	[5]2.3
PPI / Average RWA (%)	2.2	2.2	2.3	1.7	2.6	[6]2.2
Net Income / Tangible Assets (%)	1.9	1.5	1.0	0.7	1.4	[5]1.3
Cost / Income Ratio (%)	54.5	58.6	60.7	64.9	52.2	[5]58.2
Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	19.7	19.5	20.6	25.2	27.6	[5]22.5
Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets (%)	11.5	13.9	13.6	14.6	14.3	[5]13.6
Gross Loans / Total Deposits (%)	125.8	121.0	126.0	134.3	140.9	[5]129.6

Source: Moody's

[1] All figures and ratios are adjusted using Moody's standard adjustments [2] Basel III - fully-loaded or transitional phase-in; IFRS [3] Basel II; IFRS [4] Compound Annual Growth Rate based on IFRS reporting periods [5] IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation [6] Basel III - fully-loaded or transitional phase-in & IFRS reporting periods have been used for average calculation

Opinion

SUMMARY RATING RATIONALE

On 11 May, we affirmed Sparebanken Hedmark's baa2 standalone baseline credit assessment (BCA), baa1 adjusted BCA, the A2 long-term deposit rating and P-1 short-term deposit rating.

The affirmation of Sparebanken Hedmark's baa2 BCA reflects the bank's strong capital and stable retail banking operations, balanced against the challenges posed by a potential acquisition of the remaining stake in Bank 1 Oslo Akershus (not rated), which operates in a more competitive area than Hedmark. While we note that Sparebanken Hedmark's rating currently remains constrained by its limited flexibility to raise capital, owing to its current shareholding structure, we also note its recently announced plan to issue equity certificates equivalent to 60% of its primary capital. This issuance will provide further flexibility and could exert upward pressure on the rating over time if the bank is able to also manage its stake in Bank 1 Oslo Akershus and sustain strong financial performance. The affirmation of the bank's deposit and senior unsecured debt ratings takes into account the Loss Given Failure (LGF) analysis of the bank's own volume of deposits and debt, and the volume of securities subordinated to them, which offset the decrease in government support assumptions.

SPAREBANKEN HEDMARK'S BCA IS SUPPORTED BY ITS VERY STRONG- MACRO PROFILE

As a pure domestic bank, Sparebanken Hedmark's operating environment is in Norway, and the bank's Macro Profile is thus aligned with that of Norway at Very Strong-. Norwegian banks benefit from operating in an affluent and developed country with very high economic, institutional and government financial strength as well as low susceptibility to event risk. The main risks to the system stem from a high level of household indebtedness and domestic banks' reliance on market funding. However, these risks are offset by the strength of households' ability to service debt, banks' adequate capitalisation and the relatively small size of the banking system compared to GDP.

RATING DRIVERS

- Capital levels are the strongest among peers.
- The bank's asset quality metrics are still somewhat weaker than those of its Norwegian peers, although they have improved
- The bank's funding profile is underpinned by a sizeable deposit base but exhibits some reliance on market funding.
- Profitability strong, but an increase in Bank 1 Oslo Akershus stake will put pressure on profitability
- Large volume of deposits and junior debt resulting in deposit ratings benefiting from a very low loss-given-failure rate
- Low probability of government support likely resulting in no additional uplift versus BCA for debt and deposits

Rating Outlook

The stable outlooks on the bank's long-term senior debt and deposit ratings reflect our view that the bank's financials will remain broadly resilient in the face of a modest slowdown in Norway's still strong economic performance.

What Could Change the Rating - Up

Upward rating pressure could develop if Sparebanken Hedmark demonstrates (1) good asset quality in its retail and corporate books (2) continued access to capital markets and improved liquidity, and/or (3) stronger earnings generation without an increase in its risk profile. In addition, further upward pressure could emerge following the completion of the transaction relating to the takeover of the remaining part of Bank 1 Oslo Akershus with minimal impact to the bank's capital base, as execution risk currently factored in our ratings will be reduced.

What Could Change the Rating - Down

Future downward rating pressure could arise if (1) Sparebanken Hedmark's financing condition become more difficult, (2) its risk profile increases, for example as a result of increased exposures to more volatile sectors resulting to asset quality deterioration and/or (3) macroeconomic environment deteriorates more than estimated, leading to adverse developments in the Norwegian real-estate market.

DETAILED RATING CONSIDERATIONS

CAPITAL LEVELS ARE THE STRONGEST AMONGST ITS PEERS

Sparebanken Hedmark exhibits the strongest capital levels amongst its Norwegian rated peers. At December 2014, the Tangible Common Equity (TCE) to risk weighted assets (RWA) ratio stood at 17.7% (in line with updated capital regulations). The bank's capital consists only of retained earnings and our assigned Capital score reflects that, as an institution without owners, its access to pure equity capital is limited. However, the bank is planning to issue equity certificates equivalent to 60% of its primary capital, and received regulatory approval in May 2015, which we view positively as it could improve access to capital markets. Nevertheless, we believe that there is a degree of uncertainty regarding Sparebanken Hedmark's expansion plans, following the increase of its stake in Bank 1 Oslo Akershus (unrated) to 40.5% in 2014 from 12.0% in 2013, which could put pressure in its capital base.

BANK'S ASSET QUALITY METRICS ARE STILL SOMEWHAT WEAKER THAN THOSE OF ITS NORWEGIAN PEERS, ALTHOUGH THEY HAVE IMPROVED

The bank's asset quality metrics improved somewhat in the 2010-14 period; its problem loan ratio (as measure by impaired loans as a percentage of total loans) decreased to just below 1.4% of on-balance-sheet loans at end-December 2014 from 2.4% at year-end 2010. Nonetheless, this ratio remains relatively high compared to larger rated Norwegian savings banks.

Sparebanken Hedmark's loan portfolio benefits from a substantial proportion of retail loans, mostly in the form of mortgages (close to 60% excluding loans transferred to SpareBank 1 Boligkreditt and almost 70% including them). While the performance of the bank's retail book has been particularly strong in recent years, any more significant slowdown in the economy we expect that would undermine the bank's asset quality - also because the mortgage loans remaining on the bank's balance sheet have higher loan-to-values than those transferred to the SpareBank 1 Alliance's covered bond companies.

Our assigned Asset Risk score also reflects the bank's exposure to the property management and construction sectors, which are particularly vulnerable to less favorable economic conditions due to declining oil and gas prices as well as falling investment levels, is sizeable at 20% of on-balance-sheet loans. The rest of the portfolio is mainly focused on SMEs and diversified across industries. The bank also exhibits high borrower concentration, albeit somewhat lower than most of its Nordic rated peers.

LIQUIDITY - SUBSTANTIAL DEPOSIT BASE, SOME RELIANCE ON MARKET FUNDING BUT LESS THAN MANY PEERS

Sparebanken Hedmark's funding position is underpinned by a substantial deposit base, which accounted for almost 80% of on-balance-sheet funding at end-December 2014, with over 60% of the bank's deposits originate from the retail sector.

We globally reflect the relative stability of covered bonds compared to unsecured market funding through a standard adjustment in our scorecard. Sparebanken Hedmark has increasingly used covered bond funding, which is done off-balance-sheet through specialised companies it jointly owns together with the other members of SpareBank 1 Alliance (SpareBank 1 Boligkreditt for residential mortgages and SpareBank 1 Næringskreditt for commercial mortgages). At end-December 2014, Sparebanken Hedmark had transferred retail mortgages worth NOK15.4 billion to SpareBank 1 Boligkreditt and NOK0.6 billion commercial mortgages to SpareBank 1 Næringskreditt (i.e., equivalent to 28.6% of total loans).

Whilst we positively view the diversification benefit of covered bond funding, its extensive use increases the amount of pledged assets unavailable for unsecured bondholders including depositors in a liquidation. Our assessment of the bank's funding structure reflects our view that Sparebanken Hedmark has some reliance on market funds - a common feature at Nordic banks - but to a lesser degree than peers: market funding accounted for 20% of the bank's tangible assets at end-December 2014 (27% when adjusting for covered bonds) and has been stable over the years

At end-December 2014, liquid assets accounted for 11.4% of tangible assets and, according to the bank, cover funding needs for 18 months (assuming 6% lending growth and 2.6% growth in deposits). The portfolio consists of cash and deposits with the central bank, senior bonds, covered bonds and limited equity investments. The holdings are concentrated on Norwegian securities, which could be a source of vulnerability from a concentration risk point of view, but is positive in terms of currency risk.

PROFITABILITY STRONG BUT POTENTIAL INCREASE IN BANK 1 OSLO AKERSHUS STAKE WILL PUT

PRESSURE IN PROFITABILITY

In our view, the increased stake in Bank 1 Oslo Akershus will put additional pressure in Sparebanken Hedmark's revenue profile. The bank's earnings profile is weaker than Sparebanken Hedmark's as the bank operates in a more competitive area than Hedmark resulting to significantly lower margins while its operations lack efficiency.

Sparebanken Hedmark's main source of earnings is net interest income, representing around 56% of its 2014 operating income (when including fees from loans transferred to the covered bond company). The bank's cost-to-income ratio (according to Moody's adjusted metrics) was almost 55% in 2014, slightly higher than the average of the Norwegian rated peers; while loan loss provisions remained low at NOK66million in 2014, in line with NOK72 million in 2013. Sparebanken Hedmark reported a net profit of NOK1.04 billion over 2014. Moody's adjusted annualised return on tangible assets was at 1.86%, higher than both the 2013 level and the three-year average due to increased contribution from equity stakes.

Our assigned Profitability score reflects our expectations that the growth of Sparebanken Hedmark's will slow over the coming year as we do not expect a continuation of margin increases. Further more we expect a reduction in profitability of the Group once the acquisition of the remaining stake in Bank 1 Oslo Akershus is completed. All else being equal, we would not anticipate the bank's corporate loan losses to remain at their current level as we expect Norway to experience a slightly tougher bank operating environment in 2015-2016 compared to recent years.

Notching Considerations

LOSS GIVEN FAILURE AND ADDITIONAL NOTCHING

We expect that Norway will seek to introduce legislation to implement the EU Bank Resolution and Recovery Directive (BRRD). In our LGF analysis assume residual tangible common equity of 3% and losses post-failure of 8% of tangible banking assets, a 25% run-off in "junior" wholesale deposits, a 5% run-off in preferred deposits, and assign a 25% probability to deposits being preferred to senior unsecured debt. These metrics are in line with our standard assumptions.

For Sparebanken Hedmark's long-term deposit ratings, our affirmation has considered the likely impact on loss-given-failure of the combination of their own volume and the amount of debt subordinated to them. This has resulted in a Preliminary Rating Assessment (PRA) of two notches above the BCA, reflecting very low loss-given-failure.

GOVERNMENT SUPPORT

The expected implementation of resolution legislation has caused us to reconsider the probability that government support would benefit certain creditors.

With regional loan and deposit market shares of around 23% and 45% (lending market share is estimated at 33% by the bank when including mortgages transferred to covered bond company), respectively, Sparebanken Hedmark has a sound local market position in the county of Hedmark in eastern Norway. The national market share is however notably smaller at roughly 0.9% in terms of loans and 1.6% in terms of deposits (based on total on-balance sheet lending in the bank's counties of operation and in the whole country according to Statistics Norway). Therefore we now expect a low probability of government support for debt and deposits, resulting in zero notches of uplift.

COUNTERPARTY RISK ASSESSMENT

We also assigned a long-term and short-term CR assessment of A1(cr) and P-1 (cr) respectively.

CR Assessments are opinions of how counterparty obligations are likely to be treated if a bank fails and are distinct from debt and deposit ratings in that they (1) consider only the risk of default rather than the likelihood of default and the expected financial loss suffered in the event of default and (2) apply to counterparty obligations and contractual commitments rather than debt or deposit instruments. The CR assessment is an opinion of the counterparty risk related to a bank's covered bonds, contractual performance obligations (servicing), derivatives (e.g., swaps), letters of credit, guarantees and liquidity facilities.

About Moody's Bank Scorecard

Our Scorecard is designed to capture, express and explain in summary form our Rating Committee's judgment.

When read in conjunction with our research, a fulsome presentation of our judgment is expressed. As a result, the output of our Scorecard may materially differ from that suggested by raw data alone (though it has been calibrated to avoid the frequent need for strong divergence). The Scorecard output and the individual scores are discussed in rating committees and may be adjusted up or down to reflect conditions specific to each rated entity

Rating Factors

SparebankenHedmark

Macro Factors	
Weighted Macro Profile	Very Strong -

Financial Profile						
Factor	Historic Ratio	Macro Adjusted Score	Credit Trend	Assigned Score	Key driver #1	Key driver #2
Solvency						
Asset Risk						
<i>Problem Loans / Gross Loans</i>	1.7%	aa3	← →	a3	Geographical concentration	Sector concentration
Capital						
<i>TCE / RWA</i>	17.7%	aa2	← →	a1	Expected trend	
Profitability						
<i>Net Income / Tangible Assets</i>	1.5%	a1	↓	baa3	Earnings quality	Expected trend
Combined Solvency Score		aa3		a3		
Liquidity						
Funding Structure						
<i>Market Funds / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	19.7%	a2	← →	baa3	Market funding quality	
Liquid Resources						
<i>Liquid Banking Assets / Tangible Banking Assets</i>	11.5%	baa3	← →	baa3	Stock of liquid assets	
Combined Liquidity Score		baa1		baa3		

Financial Profile	baa1
Qualitative Adjustments	Adjustment
Business Diversification	0
Opacity and Complexity	0
Corporate Behavior	0
Total Qualitative Adjustments	0
Sovereign or Affiliate constraint	Aaa
Scorecard Calculated BCA range	a3 - baa2

Assigned BCA

baa2

Affiliate Support
notching

1

Adjusted BCA

baa1

Instrument Class	Loss Given Failure notching	Additional notching	Preliminary Rating Assessment	Government Support notching	Local Currency rating	Foreign Currency rating
Deposits	2	0	a2	0	A2	A2

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on <http://www.moodys.com> for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

MOODY'S
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2015 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND RESEARCH PUBLICATIONS PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ("MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO CONSIDER MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS IN MAKING ANY INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

For Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail clients. It would be dangerous for "retail clients" to make any investment decision based on MOODY'S credit rating. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

For Japan only: MOODY'S Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MOODY'S Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJJK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000. MJJK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.